

guarantee that they would use it until we earmarked it. So you see, therein lies my quandary. Do we in a sense put the Governor on the spot and leave the fate up to whoever will have the right to veto or not and then try to override or do we want to control our own destiny and move ahead with a bill which I think is in good shape at the present time without amendments, LB 89 in particular? So I guess I have to tell all my good friends here to reason this out in your own minds. Maybe somebody else will talk about it as well. My first reaction is that I kind of resent the fact that the "sups" would come in here so late in the session and suggest a state aid program and never during the session, never, ever give us a chance to discuss or talk about it or think it was something we should consider as part of our priorities and jeopardize other bills that have been worked on and compromised considerably so far, including LB 84 and 89. So right now I'm not going to tell you what to do, think about it. You know, if it's there, are we better off if you're a supporter of 89? Or are we better off if it's not there and we cut our options? I'll have a chance to talk about it again and I'll have a chance to close. I may even ask permission to withdraw after we talk about it with a couple more people. I think you should have the right to discuss it as well as me and I don't assume the responsibility to withdraw it at this time without hearing some of your thoughts. Let's not spend a lot of time on it because of the late hour, I understand that, but tell me what you think here. We haven't talked about this privately much, hardly at all, but tell me what you think about it. I'd appreciate that.

**SPEAKER BARRETT:** Thank you. Discussion, Senator Moore, followed by Senators Scofield, Nelson and Abboud.

**SENATOR MOORE:** Mr. Speaker and members, I, like many of the body sat here in almost awe this morning as that motion, Senator Kristensen's motion, racked up 30 some votes. I just could not believe it was going to happen. Now it's like the Legislature is watching too much TV and too many sporting events when the Michelob Light commercial comes on about you can have it all. We sit here and tell the education lobby, you can have it all. We sit here and tell the university, you can have it all. We sit here and tell indigent care and everybody else, you can have it all, willing to say no to no one. We're not only watching too much Michelob Light commercials, I think the way we're spending like drunken sailors, maybe we're drinking too much of it too, that I simply cannot believe we sit here and just heap